

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 24 2004

OFFICIAL

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE


In Re Application of: Prakash *et al.* Conf. No.: 4786
Serial No.: 09/816,318 Art Unit: 2672
Filed: 03/28/2001 Examiner: Havan, Thu Thao
Title: IMAGE ROTATION WITH
SUBSTANTIALLY NO
ALIASING ERROR Docket. No.: CHA920010003US1 (IBMC-0014)

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

DESTINATION FACSIMILE NUMBER: 703-872-9306

Transmitted herewith is: Statement of Substance of Interview in 2 pages
in the above identified application.

- ☒ No additional fee is required.
- ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 500999 as described below.
- ☒ Credit any overpayment.
- ☒ Charge any additional fee required.



Spencer K. Warnick, Reg. No. 40,398

DATE: June 24, 2004

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Jennifer Desbiens
(Person transmitting this correspondence)


SignatureJune 24, 2004
Date

If you receive this correspondence in error or do not receive the entire transmission, please notify us at (518) 449-0044.

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

JUN 24 2004

OFFICIAL**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant:	Prakash <i>et al.</i>	Conf. No.:	4786
Serial No.:	09/816,318	Art Unit:	2672
Filing Date:	03/28/2001	Examiner:	Havan, Thu Thao
Title:	IMAGE ROTATION WITH SUBSTANTIALLY NO ALIASING ERROR	Docket No.:	CHA920010003US1 (IBM-0014)

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Sir:

On May 20, 2004, Applicants filed a Request for Continued Examination containing a copy of a Request for Reconsideration, which was originally filed on March 25, 2004, and an Interview Request form. A telephonic interview was held on June 2, 2004 with Examiner Havan representing the Office and Attorneys Spencer K. Warnick and Hunter E. Webb representing applicants. On June 4, 2004, the Office mailed its Interview Summary. Applicants respectfully submit the following statement:

During the interview, the rejection of claims 1-3, 10-15, 22-23 and 26 and the objection to claims 4-9, 16-21, 24-25 and 29 in light of Anderson and Deering were discussed. No proposed amendment was submitted by Applicants. Applicants explained that the purpose of the current invention, i.e., to correct an image that is askew due to input errors, e.g., scanning errors, is not the same as that of the prior art. Applicants further explained that prior art does not teach


Serial No. 09/712,900

Page 1 of 2

all the limitations of the claimed invention, specifically: the random angle as disclosed in the prior art is not equivalent to the skew angle as included in the present invention; and the two-step process of loading data into a buffer, possibly using a random angle, and then filtering the data from the buffer in the prior art is not equivalent to the one-step process of rotating an image in a buffer by a defined skew angle as included in the present invention. The Examiner stated that a new search would be performed, specifically with respect to a skew angle.

Applicant respectfully submits that all claims are in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner require anything further to place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned representative at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,



Spencer K. Warnick
Reg. No.: 48,301

Date: 6/24/04
Hoffman, Warnick & D'Alessandro LLC
Three E-Comm Square
Albany, New York 12207
(518) 449-0044
(518) 449-0047 (fax)